



Appendix "H" Regional Transportation Plan Checklist



Sequoia National Forest



*Downtown Lindsay
Farmers Market*

Regional Transportation Plan Checklist

(Revised September 2007)

(To be completed electronically Microsoft Word format by the MPO/RTPA and submitted along with draft RTP to the Calif. Department of Transportation)

Name of MPO/RTPA: Tulare County Association of Governments (TCAG)

Date Draft RTP Completed: April 30, 2010

RTP Adoption Date: July 19, 2010

What is the Certification Date of the Environmental Document (ED)? July 19, 2010

Is the ED located in the RTP or is it a separate document? Appendix C – under separate cover

By completing this checklist, the MPO/RTPA verifies the RTP addresses all of the following required information within the RTP.

Regional Transportation Plan Contents

General

1. Does the RTP address no less than a 20-year planning horizon (23 CFR 450.322(a))?
Pg 1-1
2. Does the RTP include both long-range and short-range strategies/actions (23 CFR part 450.322(b))?
Fig 3-11, Fig 3-12, Pg 3-37, 3-51, 3-59 through 3-64, 4-33, Tbl 4-4 through 4-7, 4-10 through 4-12
3. Does the RTP address issues specified in the policy, action and financial elements identified in California Government Code Section 65080?
Chapters 2, 3 and 4
4. Does the RTP include Project Intent i.e. Plan Level Purpose and Need Statements?
Tbl 3-11 and 3-12

Consultation/Cooperation

1. Does the RTP contain a public involvement program that meets the requirements of Title 23, CFR part 450.316 (1)(i-x)?
Pg 1-21, 3-35, Appendix F
2. Did the MPO/RTPA consult with the appropriate State and local representatives including representatives from environmental and economic communities; airport; transit; freight during the preparation of the RTP? (23CFR450.316(3)(b))
Pg 1-20 and 1-21, 3-34 and 3-35, Appendix G
3. Did the MPO/RTPA who has federal lands within its jurisdictional boundary involve the federal land management agencies during the preparation of the RTP?
Pg 1-20 and 1-21, 3-34 and 3-35, Appendix G

4. Where does the RTP specify that the appropriate State and local agencies responsible for land use, natural resources, environmental protection, conservation and historic preservation consulted? (23 CFR part 450.322(g))
Pg 1-20 and 1-21, 3-34 and 3-35, Appendix G
5. Did the RTP include a comparison with the California State Wildlife Action Plan and (if available) inventories of natural and historic resources? (23 CFR part 450.322(g))
Appendix C
6. Did the MPO/RTPA who has a federally recognized Native American Tribal Government(s) and/or historical and sacred sites or subsistence resources of these Tribal Governments within its jurisdictional boundary address tribal concerns in the RTP and develop the RTP in consultation with the Tribal Government(s)? (Title 23 CFR part 450.316(c))
Pg 1-20, 3-34, Appendix G
7. Does the RTP address how the public and various specified groups were given a reasonable opportunity to comment on the plan using the participation plan developed under 23 CFR part 450.316(a)? (23 CFR 450.316(i))
Pg 1-21, 3-35, Appendix F, Appendix G
8. Does the RTP contain a discussion describing the private sector involvement efforts that were used during the development of the plan? (23 CFR part 450.316(l))
Pg 1-20 and 1-21, 3-34 and 3-35, Appendix F, Appendix G
9. Does the RTP contain a discussion describing the coordination efforts with regional air quality planning authorities (23 CFR 450.316(3)(b))? (MPO nonattainment and maintenance areas only)
Pg 1-20 and 1-21, 3-34 and 3-35, Appendix G
10. Is the RTP coordinated and consistent with the Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan?
Pg 1-14 to 1-16, 2-4, Pg 3-65 to 3-79, Pg 4-9 to 4-16, 4-34 and 4-35, Appendix E
11. Were the draft and adopted RTP posted on the Internet? (23 CFR part 450.322(j))
<http://www.tularecog.org/rtpcomments.php> , Appendix G

Modal Discussion

1. Does the RTP discuss intermodal and connectivity issues?
Pg 3-8 and 3-9, 3-64, 3-76 & 3-77, 3-88 & 89, Chapter 5
2. Does the RTP include a discussion of highways?
Pg 3-64 through 3-65, 3-89 & 3-90, 4-33 & 4-34, Chapter 5
3. Does the RTP include a discussion of mass transportation?
Pg 3-65 through 3-79, 4-34 & 4-35
4. Does the RTP include a discussion of the regional airport system?
Pg 3-84 through 3-87, 4-36
5. Does the RTP include a discussion of regional pedestrian needs?
Pg 3-80 and 3-81
6. Does the RTP include a discussion of regional bicycle needs?
Pg 3-80 and 3-81, 4-35

7. Does the RTP include a discussion of rail transportation?
Pg 3-81 through 3-83, 4-35, Chapter 5
8. Does the RTP include a discussion of maritime transportation (if appropriate)?
N/A
9. Does the RTP include a discussion of goods movement?
Pg 3-87 through 3-89, Chapter 5

Programming/Operations

1. Is a congestion management process discussed in the RTP? (MPOs designated as TMAs only) (23 CFR part 450.450.320(b))
N/A
2. Is the RTP consistent (to the maximum extent practicable) with the development of the regional ITS architecture?
Pg 2-10
3. Does the RTP identify the objective criteria used for measuring the performance of the transportation system?
Pg 2-12 through 2-14, 3-28 through 3-30
4. Does the RTP contain a list of un-constrained projects?
Tbl 3-15

Financial

1. Does the RTP include a financial plan that meets the requirements identified in 23 CFR part 450.322(f)(10)?
Chapter 4
2. Does the RTP contain a consistency statement between the first 4 years of the fund estimate and the 4-year STIP fund estimate? (2006 STIP Guidelines, Section 19)
Pg 4-1
3. Do the projected revenues in the RTP reflect Fiscal Constraint (23 CFR part 450.322(f)(10)(ii))?
Pg 4-1, Chapter 5
4. Does the RTP contain a list of financially constrained projects? Any regionally significant projects should be identified. (Government Code 65808(3)(A))
Tbl 3-13 & 3-14
5. Do the cost estimates for implementing the projects identified in the RTP reflect “year of expenditure dollars” to reflect inflation rates? (23 CFR part 450.322(f)(10)(iv))
Tbl 3-13 & 3-14, Tbl 4-13
6. After 12/11/07, does the RTP contain estimates of costs and revenue sources that are reasonably expected to be available to operate and maintain the freeways, highway and transit within the region (23 CFR 450.322(f)(10)(i))?
Pg 3-89 & 3-90, Tbl 3-16
7. Does the RTP contain a statement regarding consistency between the projects in the RTP and the ITIP (2006 STIP Guidelines section 33)?

Pg 4-1

- 8. Does the RTP contain a statement regarding consistency between the projects in the RTP and the FTIP (2006 STIP Guidelines section 19)?

Pg 4-1

- 9. Does the RTP address the specific financial strategies required to ensure the identified TCMs from the SIP can be implemented? (nonattainment and maintenance MPOs only) (23 CFR part 450.322(f)(10)(vi))

Pg 3-45 through 3-49, Chapter 5

Environmental

- 1. Did the MPO/RTPA prepare an EIR or a program EIR for the RTP in accordance with CEQA guidelines?

Appendix C

- 2. Does the RTP contain a list of projects specifically identified as TCMs, if applicable?

Appendix D

- 3. Does the RTP contain a discussion of SIP conformity, if applicable? (MPOs only)

Pg 3-45 through 3-49, Appendix D

- 4. Does the RTP specify mitigation activities? (23 CFR part 450.322(f)(7))

Pg 3-33 & 3-34, Appendix C

- 5. Where does the EIR address mitigation activities?

Appendix C – Section 3

- 6. Did the MPO/RTPA prepare a Negative Declaration or a Mitigated Negative Declaration for the RTP in accordance with CEQA guidelines?

N/A

- 7. Does the RTP specify the TCM's to be implemented in the region? (federal nonattainment and maintenance areas only)

Pg 2-11, Appendix D

I have reviewed the above information and concur that it is correct and complete.

(Must be signed by MPO/RTPA Executive Director or designated representative)	Date
--	------

Print Name	Title
------------	-------